Mock Exam Formal Languages and Compilers (A.Y. 2014/2015)

February 2^{nd} , 2015

1 Lexical Analysis

1.1

Write regular definitons for the following languages:

- All strings on the alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$
- All strings on the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a-z, A-Z, *\}$ representing sentences, not containing two consecutive *, that can be followed by comments. Comments are encapsulated within two consecutive *, and within comments the * symbol cannot appear.
- All strings on the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ respecting the following rules:
 - (a) not containing the substring *abb*
 - (b) not containing the subsequence abb

1.2

Discuss the rule *longest match* in lexical analysis clarifying why it is needed in not too much constrained languages.

1.3

Consider the following regular languages \mathcal{L} , $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Show that the following properties are satisfied showing how the corresponding automaton could be combined:

- 1. $\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$ is a regular language
- 2. $\mathcal{L}_1 \bullet \mathcal{L}_2$ is a regular language
- 3. \mathcal{L}^* is a regular language

2 Syntax Analysis

2.1

Let's G the grammar defined by the following productions:

$$S \longrightarrow B \mid C \quad B \longrightarrow bB \mid b \quad C \longrightarrow bbCa \mid a \tag{1}$$

please answer to the following questions and requests:

- 1. Without deriving the LL(1) parsing table is it possible to tell if the grammar is parsable with an LL(1) approach?
- 2. derive the FIRST, FOLLOW and *nullable* sets for G. In deriving the tables please indicate with two indexes the iteration and the production responsible for the insertion of the symbol in the tables.
- 3. Derive the LR(0) automaton and the corresponding parsing tables for LR(0) and SLR parsers. Discuss the applicability of the two parsing strategies.
- 4. Use one of the two parsing strategies to show the behaviour of the corresponding parser when asked to analyze the word "bbbbaaa"

2.2

Let's G the grammar defined by the following productions:

$$S \to aSa \mid A \quad A \to bAb \mid B \quad B \to b \tag{2}$$

- 1. Discuss the applicability of parsing LL(1)
- 2. In case the grammar is not parsable with an LL(1) approach modify the grammar in order to be parsable with a parser LL(1) (obviously without modifying the generated language).