

# 5. Generation from Combinatorial Design II

#### Andrea Polini

Software Engineering II – Software Testing MSc in Computer Science University of Camerino

### January 20th, 2015

(Software Engineering II - Software Testing) 5. Generation from Combinatorial Design II

4 3 5 4 3

### Pairwise design for multivalued factors

In most practical cases factors can assume more than just two levels

- SAMNA cannot be applied
- MOLS(n) can be used to derive test set to satisfy the pairwise criterion

4 3 5 4 3 5 5

# PDMOLS algorithm

Input: n - number of factors **Output:** a test set satisfying the pairwise criterion

- **(1)** Label the factors as  $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$  such that the following ordering constraint is satisfied:  $|F_1| \ge |F_2| \ge ... \ge |F_{n-1}| \ge |F_n|$ . Let  $b = |F_1|$  and  $k = |F_2|$ .
- 2 Prepare a table containing *n* columns and  $b \times k$  rows divided into *b* blocks. Label the columns as  $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ . Each block contains k rows.
- Similar Fill column  $F_1$  with 1s in block 1, 2s in block 2 and so on. Fill block 1 of columns  $F_2$  with the sequence  $1, 2, \ldots, k$ .
- Find s = n(k) MOLS of order k. Denote them as  $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_s$ . Note that s < k for k > 1.
- **Solution** Fill block 1 of colum  $F_3$  with entried from column 1 of  $M_1$ , block 2 with entries from column 2 of  $M_1$ , and so on. If the number of blocks  $b = b_1 > k$  then reuse columns of  $M_1$  to fill rows in the remaining  $b_1 - k$  blocks. Repeat the procedure for the remaining columns. If s < (n-2) then fill columns by randomlyselecting the values.

Generate the test set from the rows of the resulting filled table.

### PDMOLS and combination constraints

In most real cases it is not meaningful/possible to use all the possible tests generated according to PDMOLS.

• If the factor X assumes level x than factor Y cannot assume level y

### The AGTCS system

| Factor                                | Levels   |              |         |        |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|---------|--------|
| F <sub>1</sub> ':Hardware (H)         | PC       | Mac          |         |        |
| F <sub>2</sub> ':OS (O)               | Win2000  | Win XP       | OS9     | OS10   |
| $F_3^{\overline{\prime}}$ :Browser(B) | Explorer | Netscape 4.x | Firefox | Chrome |
| <i>F</i> <sub>4</sub> ':PI(P)         | New      | Existing     |         |        |

### How to handle constraints

- The "PC" level is incompatible with "OSx" families.
- The "Mac" level is incompatible with "Win OS" families.
- there are invalid levels

### PDMOLS and combination constraints

In most real cases it is not meaningful/possible to use all the possible tests generated according to PDMOLS.

• If the factor X assumes level x than factor Y cannot assume level y

### The AGTCS system

| Factor                        | Levels   |              |         |        |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------------|---------|--------|
| F <sub>1</sub> ':Hardware (H) | PC       | Mac          |         |        |
| F <sub>2</sub> ':OS (O)       | Win2000  | Win XP       | OS9     | OS10   |
| $F_3'$ :Browser(B)            | Explorer | Netscape 4.x | Firefox | Chrome |
| <i>F</i> <sub>4</sub> ':PI(P) | New      | Existing     |         |        |

### How to handle constraints

- The "PC" level is incompatible with "OSx" families.
- The "Mac" level is incompatible with "Win OS" families.
- there are invalid levels

# **MOLS** shortcomings

- A sufficient number of MOLS might not exist for the problem at hand
- MOLS assist with the generation of balanced design but the number of configuration could be larger than necessary
- To address such issues other approaches have been proposed:
  - Orthogonal Arrays (and variants)
  - Covering Arrays

4 11 14 14 14 14

# **Orthogonal Arrays**

#### Definition

Example

An Orthogonal Array is an  $N \times k$  matrix in which the entries are from a finite set *S* of *s* symbols such that any  $N \times t$  subarray contains each t-uple exactly the same number of times. Such an orthogonal array is denoted by OA(N, k, s, t). The index of an orthogonal array, denoted by  $\lambda$ , is equal to  $N/s^t$ .

| Run | $F_1$ | F <sub>2</sub> | F <sub>3</sub> |  |
|-----|-------|----------------|----------------|--|
| 1   | 1     | 1              | 1              |  |
| 2   | 1     | 2              | 2              |  |
| 3   | 2     | 1              | 2              |  |
| 4   | 2     | 2              | 1              |  |

Orthogonal arrays assume that each factor assumes values from the same set of *s* values. This is not generally the case and Mixed Level Orthogonal Arrays can be used in such contexts.

(Software Engineering II - Software Testing) 5. Generation from Combinatorial Design II

January 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015 6 / 8

# **Orthogonal Arrays**

#### Definition

An Orthogonal Array is an  $N \times k$  matrix in which the entries are from a finite set *S* of *s* symbols such that any  $N \times t$  subarray contains each t-uple exactly the same number of times. Such an orthogonal array is denoted by OA(N, k, s, t). The index of an orthogonal array, denoted by  $\lambda$ , is equal to  $N/s^t$ .

| Example | е |
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

| Run | $F_1$ | F <sub>2</sub> | F <sub>3</sub> |
|-----|-------|----------------|----------------|
| 1   | 1     | 1              | 1              |
| 2   | 1     | 2              | 2              |
| 3   | 2     | 1              | 2              |
| 4   | 2     | 2              | 1              |

Orthogonal arrays assume that each factor assumes values from the same set of s values. This is not generally the case and Mixed Level Orthogonal Arrays can be used in such contexts.

# **Covering Arrays**

A Covering Array, denoted as CA(N, k, s, t) is an  $N \times k$  matrix in which entries are from a finite set S of *s* symbols such that each  $N \times t$  subarray contains each possible t-uple at least  $\lambda$  times. In this case we have an unbalanced design.

| Run | $F_1$ | $F_2$ | $F_3$ | $F_4$ | $F_5$ |     |       |       |                |       |       |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|
| 1   | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | Run | $F_1$ | $F_2$ | F <sub>3</sub> | $F_4$ | $F_5$ |
| 2   | 2     | 1     | 1     | 2     | 2     | 1   | 1     | 1     | 1              | 1     | 1     |
| 3   | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2   | 2     | 2     | 1              | 2     | 1     |
| 4   | 1     | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2     | 3   | 1     | 2     | 2              | 1     | 2     |
| 5   | 2     | 2     | 1     | 1     | 2     | 4   | 2     | 1     | 2              | 2     | 2     |
| 6   | 2     | 1     | 2     | 2     | 1     | 5   | 2     | 2     | 1              | 1     | 2     |
| 7   | 1     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 6   | 1     | 1     | 1              | 2     | 2     |
| 8   | 2     | 2     | 2     | 1     | 1     |     |       |       |                |       |       |

(B)

# Generation of Covering Arrays

IPO Procedure permits the derivation of mixed-level covering arrays for pairwise designs.

< 国 > < 国 >

### Summary from test generation strategies

- Generation from requirements
- Generation from formal models
- Generation using combinatorial design

**A b**