



## 6. Test-Adequacy II

### Assessment Using Control Flow and Data Flow

Andrea Polini

Software Engineering II – Software Testing  
MSc in Computer Science  
University of Camerino

January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015

- Combinations necessary to satisfy the **Multiple Condition Coverage** is generally too big.
- MC/DC allows a coverage of all decisions and all conditions avoiding the exponential explosion
- To derive the test set the idea is to identify those tuple which can cover the two criteria without requiring a complete combinations of values.

Let's consider the compound condition  $(C_1 \wedge C_2) \vee C_3$

# MC/DC

- Combinations necessary to satisfy the **Multiple Condition Coverage** is generally too big.
- MC/DC allows a coverage of all decisions and all conditions avoiding the exponential explosion
- To derive the test set the idea is to identify those tuple which can cover the two criteria without requiring a complete combinations of values.

Let's consider the compound condition  $(C_1 \wedge C_2) \vee C_3$

- Combinations necessary to satisfy the **Multiple Condition Coverage** is generally too big.
- MC/DC allows a coverage of all decisions and all conditions avoiding the exponential explosion
- To derive the test set the idea is to identify those tuple which can cover the two criteria without requiring a complete combinations of values.

Let's consider the compound condition  $(C_1 \wedge C_2) \vee C_3$

# Definition of MC/DC coverage

The MC/DC criterion requires that:

- Each block in  $P$  has been covered
- Each simple condition in  $P$  has taken both `true` and `false` value
- Each decision in  $P$  has taken all possible outcomes
- Each simple condition within a compound condition  $C$  in  $P$  has been shown to independently effect the outcome of  $C$ .

# Example

Consider a program conceived to satisfy the following requirements:

$R_1$ : Given coordinate position  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ , and a direction value  $d$ , the program must invoke one of the three functions `fire-1`, `fire-2`, and `fire-3` as per conditions below:

$R_{1,1}$ : Invoke `fire-1` when  $(x < y \text{ and } (z * z > y))$  and  $(\text{prev} = \text{"East"})$  where *prev* and *current* denote, respectively, the previous and current values of  $d$ .

$R_{1,2}$ : Invoke `fire-2` when  $(x < y)$  and  $(z * z \leq y)$  or  $(\text{current} = \text{"South"})$

$R_{1,3}$ : Invoke `fire-3` when none of the two conditions above is `true`

$R_2$ : The invocation described above must continue until an input Boolean variable become `true`

- let's generate test satisfying the conditions and let's analyze the covered decision

# Tracing test cases to requirements

Enhancing a test set we should understand *what portions of the requirements are tested when the program under test is executed against the newly added test case?*

- Trace back test to requirements

# Data Flow concepts

- Criteria considered so far are based on the **control flow**
- it is possible to conceive adequacy criteria based on **data flow characteristics**

Consider the following program:

```
begin
  int x,y; float z;
  input(x,y);
  z=0;
  if (x!=0) z=z+y;
  else z=z-y;
  if (y!=0) z=z/x // Should be (y!=0 and x!=0)
  else z=z*x;
  output(z);
end
```

An MC/DC test set could not reveal the error while a test set based on definition and usage of variables would have been able



# Data Flow concepts

- Criteria considered so far are based on the **control flow**
- it is possible to conceive adequacy criteria based on **data flow characteristics**

Consider the following program:

```
begin
  int x,y; float z;
  input(x,y);
  z=0;
  if (x!=0) z=z+y;
  else z=z-y;
  if (y!=0) z=z/x // Should be (y!=0 and x!=0)
  else z=z*x;
  output(z);
end
```

An MC/DC test set could not reveal the error while a test set based on definition and usage of variables would have been able

# Data flow criteria

- Data flow criteria based on two main concepts:
  - **Definition**
  - **Use** (computational usage - c-use - and predicate usage - p-use)

Definition of Data flow graphs:

- 1 Compute  $def_i$ ,  $c - use_i$  and  $p - use_i$  for each block in P
- 2 Associate each node  $i$  in  $N$  with  $def_i$ ,  $c - use_i$  and  $p - use_i$
- 3 For each node  $i$  that has a non-empty p-use set and ends in condition  $C$ , associate edges  $(i,j)$  and  $(i,k)$  with  $C$  and  $!C$

```
begin
  int x, y, z;
  input(x, y); z=0;
  if (x<0 and y<0) {
    z=x*x;
    if (y>=0) z=z+1; }
  else z=x*x*x;
  output(z);
end
```

# Data coverage

- c-use coverage
- p-use coverage
- all-uses coverage

# Control-flow vs. Data-flow