

# Derivations

## Derivation

The construction of a parse tree can be made precise by taking a derivational view, in which **production are considered as rewriting rules**.

A sentence belongs to a language if there is a **derivation from the initial symbol to the sentence**.

e.g.  $E \rightarrow E + E | E * E | - E | (E) | \mathbf{id}$

## Kind of derivations

Each sentence can be generated according to two different strategies **leftmost and rightmost**. Parsers generally return one of this two derivations.

# Derivations

## Derivation

The construction of a parse tree can be made precise by taking a derivational view, in which **production are considered as rewriting rules**.

A sentence belongs to a language if there is a **derivation from the initial symbol to the sentence**.

e.g.  $E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid - E \mid (E) \mid \mathbf{id}$

## Kind of derivations

Each sentence can be generated according to two different strategies **leftmost** and **rightmost**. Parsers generally return one of this two derivations.

# Ambiguity

A grammar that produces **more than one parse tree** for some sentence is said to be ambiguous. An ambiguous grammar has **more than one left-most derivation** or **more than one rightmost derivation** for the same sentence.

## Ambiguity and Precedence of Operators

Using the simplest grammar for expressions let's derive again the parse tree for:

**id + id \* id**

Now consider the following grammar:

$$E \rightarrow E + T \mid E - T \mid T$$

$$T \rightarrow T * F \mid T / F \mid F$$

$$F \rightarrow (E) \mid \text{id}$$

## Use of ambiguous grammar

In some case it can be convenient to use ambiguous grammar, but then it is necessary to define **precise disambiguating rules**

# Ambiguity

A grammar that produces **more than one parse tree** for some sentence is said to be ambiguous. An ambiguous grammar has **more than one left-most derivation** or **more than one rightmost derivation** for the same sentence.

## Ambiguity and Precedence of Operators

Using the simplest grammar for expressions let's derive again the parse tree for:

**id + id \* id**

Now consider the following grammar:

$$E \rightarrow E + T \mid E - T \mid T$$

$$T \rightarrow T * F \mid T / F \mid F$$

$$F \rightarrow (E) \mid \mathbf{id}$$

## Use of ambiguous grammar

In some case it can be convenient to use ambiguous grammar, but then it is necessary to define **precise disambiguating rules**

# Ambiguity

A grammar that produces **more than one parse tree** for some sentence is said to be ambiguous. An ambiguous grammar has **more than one left-most derivation** or **more than one rightmost derivation** for the same sentence.

## Ambiguity and Precedence of Operators

Using the simplest grammar for expressions let's derive again the parse tree for:

**id + id \* id**

Now consider the following grammar:

$$E \rightarrow E + T \mid E - T \mid T$$

$$T \rightarrow T * F \mid T / F \mid F$$

$$F \rightarrow (E) \mid \text{id}$$

## Use of ambiguous grammar

In some case it can be convenient to use ambiguous grammar, but then it is necessary to define **precise disambiguating rules**

# Ambiguity

## Conditional statements

Consider the following grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} stmt &\rightarrow \mathbf{if\ expr\ then\ stmt} \\ &| \mathbf{if\ expr\ then\ stmt\ else\ stmt} \\ &| \mathbf{other} \end{aligned}$$

decide if the following sentence belongs to the generated language:

**if  $E_1$  then if  $E_2$  then  $S_1$  else  $S_2$**

# Exercises

Consider the grammar:

$$S \rightarrow SS + \mid SS * \mid a$$

and the string  $aa + a*$

- ▶ Give the leftmost derivation for the string
- ▶ Give the rightmost derivation for the string
- ▶ Give a parse tree for the string
- ▶ Is the grammar ambiguous or unambiguous?
- ▶ Describe the language generated by this grammar?

Define grammars for the following languages:

- ▶  $\mathcal{L} = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ is palindrom}\}$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L} = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ contains the same occurrences of 0 and 1}\}$

# Exercises

Consider the grammar:

$$S \rightarrow SS + \mid SS * \mid a$$

and the string  $aa + a*$

- ▶ Give the leftmost derivation for the string
- ▶ Give the rightmost derivation for the string
- ▶ Give a parse tree for the string
- ▶ Is the grammar ambiguous or unambiguous?
- ▶ Describe the language generated by this grammar?

Define grammars for the following languages:

- ▶  $\mathcal{L} = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ is palindrom}\}$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L} = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ contains the same occurrences of 0 and 1}\}$



CF grammars are capable to describe the syntax of most, **but not all**, the programming languages. For instance, the requirement that **identifiers must be declared before their usage cannot be expressed** in CF grammars.

So what we can do?

## Ambiguity

- Many languages admit both ambiguous and unambiguous grammars, while some languages admit only ambiguous grammars.

CF grammars are capable to describe the syntax of most, **but not all**, the programming languages. For instance, the requirement that **identifiers must be declared before their usage cannot be expressed** in CF grammars.

So what we can do?

## Ambiguity

- ▶ Many languages admit both ambiguous and unambiguous grammars, while some languages admit only ambiguous grammars
- ▶ A language that only admits ambiguous grammars is called an inherently ambiguous language, e.g.  
 $\{a^n b^m c^k \mid n = m \text{ or } m = k; n, m, k \geq 0\}$
- ▶ A Turing machine cannot decide whether a context-free language is inherently ambiguous or not

CF grammars are capable to describe the syntax of most, **but not all**, the programming languages. For instance, the requirement that **identifiers must be declared before their usage cannot be expressed** in CF grammars.

So what we can do?

## Ambiguity

- ▶ Many languages admit both ambiguous and unambiguous grammars, while **some languages admit only ambiguous grammars**
- ▶ A language that only admits ambiguous grammars is called an inherently ambiguous language, e.g.  
 $\{a^n b^m c^k \mid n = m \text{ or } m = k; n, m, k \geq 0\}$
- ▶ A Turing machine cannot decide whether a context-free language is inherently ambiguous or not

CF grammars are capable to describe the syntax of most, **but not all**, the programming languages. For instance, the requirement that **identifiers must be declared before their usage cannot be expressed** in CF grammars.

So what we can do?

## Ambiguity

- ▶ Many languages admit both ambiguous and unambiguous grammars, while **some languages admit only ambiguous grammars**
- ▶ A language that only admits ambiguous grammars is called an **inherently ambiguous language**, e.g.  
 $\{a^n b^m c^k \mid n = m \text{ or } m = k; n, m, k \geq 0\}$
- ▶ A Turing machine cannot decide whether a context-free language is inherently ambiguous or not

CF grammars are capable to describe the syntax of most, **but not all**, the programming languages. For instance, the requirement that **identifiers must be declared before their usage cannot be expressed** in CF grammars.

So what we can do?

## Ambiguity

- ▶ Many languages admit both ambiguous and unambiguous grammars, while **some languages admit only ambiguous grammars**
- ▶ A language that only admits ambiguous grammars is called an **inherently ambiguous language**, e.g.  
 $\{a^n b^m c^k \mid n = m \text{ or } m = k; n, m, k \geq 0\}$
- ▶ A Turing machine cannot decide whether a context-free language is inherently ambiguous or not