Formal Languages and Compilers Exercises on Syntax Analysis I with Solutions

MSc in Computer Science, University of Camerino prof. Luca Tesei

Exercise 1

Consider the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid Ad \mid Bc$$

$$A \rightarrow Aa \mid c$$

$$B \rightarrow ddA \mid dC$$

$$C \rightarrow ac$$

- 1. Formalise the language generated by the grammar
- 2. Is the grammar LL(k) for some k?
- 3. Construct the table of a top-down non-recursive predictive parser for the language.

Solution

1) The language can be formalised as follows:

 $\{a^n c a^* d b^n \mid n \ge 0\} \cup \{a^n d a c c b^n \mid n \ge 0\} \cup \{a^n d d c a^* c b^n \mid n \ge 0\}$

2) The grammar is not LL(k) for any k because it has an immediate left recursion in the production: $A \to Aa$.

3) Let us eliminate the left recursion and also factorise the productions of *B*. We obtain the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow aSb \mid Ad \mid Bc$$

$$A \rightarrow cA'$$

$$A' \rightarrow aA' \mid \epsilon$$

$$B \rightarrow dB'$$

$$B' \rightarrow dA \mid C$$

$$C \rightarrow ac$$

We have $\text{FOLLOW}(S) = \{\$, b\}$, $\text{FOLLOW}(A) = \{d, c\} = \text{FOLLOW}(A')$, $\text{FOLLOW}(B) = \{c\} = \text{FOLLOW}(B') = \text{FOLLOW}(C)$.

This modified grammar is LL(1) and the parsing table is the following:

	a	b	с	d	\$
S	$S \rightarrow aSb$		$S \to Ad$	$S \to Bc$	
A			$A \to cA'$		
A'	$A' \to aA'$		$A' \to \epsilon$	$A' \to \epsilon$	
B				$B \to dB'$	
B'	$B' \to C$			$B' \to dA$	
C	$C \to ac$				

Exercise 2

Consider the following grammar:

- 1. Formalise the language generated by the grammar
- 2. Is the grammar LR(1)?
- 3. Is the string aaAb a viable prefix? If the answer is yes, enumerate the valid LR(0) items for this prefix.

Solution

1) The language is

$$\{a^{2n+1}b^{n+1} \mid n \ge 0\} \cup \{a^{2n}b^{n+3} \mid n \ge 0\}$$

2) Let us first construct the collection of LR(0) items. If there are no conflicts then the grammar is SLR(1) and so also LR(1). Let us augment the grammar, as usual, with the production $S' \to S$.

	$S' \to \cdot S$ $S \to \cdot A$ $S \to \cdot Bbb$	
$I_0 =$	$\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow \cdot aB \\ B \rightarrow \cdot aAb \\ B \rightarrow \cdot b \end{array}$	$I_1 = goto(I_0, S) = S' \to S$
$I_2 = goto(I_0, A) =$	$S \to A \cdot$	$I_3 = goto(I_0, B) = S \to B \cdot bb$
	$A \to a \cdot B$	
- (-)	$A \to a \cdot Ab$	
$I_4 = goto(I_0, a) =$		$I_5 = goto(I_0, b) = B \to b \cdot$
	$B \to \cdot b$	
	$A \rightarrow \cdot aB$	
$I_6 = goto(I_3, b) =$	$B \to \overline{Bb \cdot b}$	$I_7 = goto(I_4, B) = A \to aB \cdot$
$I_8 = goto(I_4, A) =$	$A \to aA \cdot b$	$I_9 = goto(I_6, b) = B \to Bbb$
$I_{10} = goto(I_8, b) =$	$B \to aAb \cdot$	$goto(I_4, a) = I_4$ $goto(I_4, b) = I_5$

We have that FOLLOW(S') = FOLLOW(S) = {\$}. And also FOLLOW(A) = FOLLOW(B) = $\{b, \$\}$.

There are no conflicts in the states, thus the grammar is SLR(1).

3) We can use the fact that the construction of the collection of the LR(0) items corresponds to the definition of a DFA starting in state I_0 . All the states are accepting and this automaton recognises all the viable prefixes. Thus, we can test if the string aaAb is accepted. A labelled path for the string on the automaton is $0 \xrightarrow{a} 4 \xrightarrow{a} 4 \xrightarrow{A} 8 \xrightarrow{b} 10$. This means that the string is a viable prefix.

The theory also tells us that the LR(0) items contained in the final state reached with the viable prefix are exactly all the items that are valid for it. Looking at the state I_{10} , the only valid item for the viable prefix is $B \to aAb$.