

University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland School of Business

Machine Learning: Learning Decision Trees

Knut Hinkelmann

Knowledge-Based Systems (Rules & Facts)



Creating Knowledge Bases

Manual: Human expert builds rules

- Rules are often redundant, incomplete, inconsistent or inefficient
- This is also call Knowledge Engineering
- Machine Learning: automatic derivation of rules from example data
 - this is also called Data Mining or Rule Induction

What is Machine Learning?

- Determine rules from data/facts
- Improve performance with experience
- Getting computers to program themselves

Machine Learning vs. Programming

Computer Application



Machine Learning



Prof. Dr. Knut Hinkelmann

Machine Learning vs. Knowledge-based Systems

Knowledge-based System



Machine Learning





Types of Learning

- Supervised learning
 - Solutions/classes for examples are known
 - Criteria for classes are learned
- Unsupervised
 - No prior knowledge
 - classes have to be determined
- Reinforcement
 - occasional rewards

Classification



- Task
 - Assign individuals to known classes
 - Examples:
 - credit assessment

 Individuals: customers of a bank
 Classes: credit worthy
 not credit worthy
 - quality chekc
 Individuals: products
 Classes: ok
 rework
 defective
 - optical character recognition (OCR)
 Individuals: scan (pixel image)
 Classes: ASCII characters

Supervised Learning: Classification Criteria



- The classifier decides, which individual belongs to which class
- The classifier is a model of the application
 - The classifier codifies the relevant criteria for the classification: class definitions
- Problems:
 - The criteria for the decision are not always obvious
 - The creation of a classifier requires knowledge and effort
- Learning:
 - Learn the classification criteria from known examples

Classification Methods



Decision Trees

Example: Decision tree for playing tennis:



- Decision trees are primarily used for classification
- Decision trees represent classification rules
- Decision tree representation:
 - Each internal node tests an attribute
 - Each branch corresponds to attribute value
 - Each leaf node assigns a classification
- Decision trees classify instances by sorting them down the tree from the root to some leaf node,

Decision Trees represent Rules



- Each path from root to a leaf is a rule
- Each path/rule is a conjunction of attribute tests:
 - IF Outlook = Sunny AND Humidity = High
 THEN No
 - IF Outlook = Sunny AND Humidity = Normal
 THEN Yes
 - IF Outlook = Overcast
 THEN Yes
 - IF Outlook = Rain AND Wind = Strong
 THEN No
 - IF Outlook = Rain AND Wind = Weak
 THEN Yes

Decision Trees represent Rules



- If the classes are boolean, a path can be regarded as a conjunction of attribute tests.
- The tree itself is a disjunction of these conjunctions

(Outlook = Sunny
$$\land$$
 Humidity = Normal)
 \lor
(Outlook = Overcast)
 \lor
(Outlook = Rain \land Wind = Weak)





Induction = Generalisation from examples

Example

The dependent variable "Tennis" determines if the weather is good for tennis ("Yes") or not ("No").

Training Data

Element	Outlook	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	Tennis
1	Sunny	Hot	High	Weak	No
2	Sunny	Hot	High	Strong	No
3	Overcast	Hot	High	Weak	Yes
4	Rain	Mild	High	Weak	Yes
5	Rain	Cold	Normal	Weak	Yes
6	Rain	Cold	Normal	Strong	No
7	Overcast	Cold	Normal	Strong	Yes
8	Sunny	Mild	High	Weak	No
9	Sunny	Cold	Normal	Weak	Yes
10	Rain	Mild	Normal	Weak	Yes
11	Sunny	Mild	Normal	Strong	Yes
12	Overcast	Mild	High	Strong	Yes
13	Overcast	Hot	Normal	Weak	Yes
14	Rain	Mild	High	Strong	No



Induction generalizes the data set \rightarrow prediction of future case

Example

The dependent variable "Tennis" determines if the weather is good for tennis ("Yes") or not ("No").

Training Data

ſ	Element		Temperatu	ire Humidity	Wind	Tennis
	1	Sunny	Hot	High	Weak	No
	2	Sunny	Hot	High	Strong	No
	3	Overcast	Hot	High	Weak	Yes
	4	Rain	Mild	High	Weak	Yes
	5	Rain	Cold	Normal	Weak	Yes
	6	Rain	Cold	Normal	Strong	No
	7	Overcast	Cold	Normal	Strong	Yes
	8	Sunny	Mild	High	Weak	No
	9	Sunny	Cold	Normal	Weak	Yes
	10	Rain	Mild	Normal	Weak	Yes
	11	Sunny	Mild	Normal	Strong	Yes
	12	Overcast	Mild	High	Strong	Yes
	13	Overcast	Hot	Normal	Weak	Yes
	14	Rain	Mild	High	Strong	No

The result of the induction algorithms classifies the data with only three of the four attributes into the classes "Yes" and "No".

Example: Decision Tree – Decision Table

The decision tree can be represented as a decision table.



Playing Tenni	S			
	Outlook	Humidity	Wind	Tennis
	Sunny,Overcast, Rain	High, Normal	Strong,Weak	Yes, No
1	Sunny	High		No
2	Sunny	Normal		Yes
3	Overcast			Yes
4	Rain		Strong	No
5	Rain		Weak	Yes



Dlaving Tannia

Example: Learning Decision Trees



Decision Tree?

Training Data

Learning Decision Trees: Generalisation of Data

		ical riv	cal joi	S
	catego	categori	continuol continuol Taxable	class
Tid	Employed	Marital Status	Taxable Income	accept
1	No	Single	125K	No
2	Yes	Married	160K	Yes
3	Yes	Single	70K	No
4	No	Married	120K	No
5	Yes	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	Yes	Married	60K	No
7	No	Divorced	220K	No
8	Yes	Single	85K	Yes
9	Yes	Married	95K	No
10	Yes	Single	90K	Yes



Training Data The model uses intervals instead of concrete numerical data

Prof. Dr. Knut Hinkelmann

Predictive Model for Classification

- Given a collection of training records (*training set*)
 - Each record consists of *attributes*, one of the attributes is the *class*
 - The class is the dependent attribute, the other attributes are the independent attributes
- Find a model for the class attribute as a function of the values of the other attributes.
- Goal: to assign a class to previously unseen records as accurately as possible.

- Generalisation of data if training set does not cover all possible cases or data are too specific
 - → Induction

Knowledge Discovery in Data

 Data Mining/Machine Learning is a step to discover knowledge in data



Knowledge is then used in processes and applications.

(Fayyad et al., 1996)

Training and Application Phase



Induction of Decision Tree

- Enumerative approach
 - Create all possible decision trees
 - Choose the tree with the least number of questions

This approach finds the best classifying tree, but it is inefficient.

- Heuristic approach:
 - Start with an empty root and extend the tree step by step with new decision nodes
 - Stop, if the desired homogenity is achieved

This approach is efficient, but does not necessariy find the best classifying tree.

Sketch of an Induction Algorithmus

Heuristic Approach

Learning a Decision Tree

- Calculate for each attribute, how good it classifies the elements of the training set
- Classify with the *best* attribute
- Repeat for each resutling subtree the first two steps
- Stop this recursive process as soon as a termination condition is satisfied

Learning of Decision Tree



Types of Data

- Discrete: endliche Zahl möglicher Werte
 - Examples: marital status, gender
 - Splitting: selection of values or groups of values
- Numeric infinite number of values on which an order is defined
 - Examples: age, income
 - Splitting: determine interval boundaries

For which kind of attributes is splitting easier?

Determine how to split the Records in a Decision Tree



- Attribute selection
 - Which attributes separate best in which order?
 - e.g. income before marital status

Test condition

- Which values separate best?
 - select value, e.g. single or married
 - determine number: e.g. income < 5000 instead of < 6000?

Creation of Decision Trees

Each decision divides the area in sections



IF

THEN

income > 6000

accept

Generating Decision Trees

- ID3 is a basic decision learning algorithm.
- It recursively selects test attributes and begins with the question "which attribute should be tested at the root of the tree?"
- ID3 selects the attribute with the highest
 - Information Gain
- To calculate the information gain of an attribute one needs
 - the **Entropy** of a classification
 - the Expectation Value of the attribute

ID3: Induction Algorithm for Decision Trees Create a decision from all data

Randomly choose a training set from the whole data set **Create** a decision tree from the training set UNTIL the decision tree correctly classifies all data Add selected wrongly classified elements to the training set **Create** a decision tree from the new training set

- Create a decision tree
 - FOR EACH attribute

Calculate the Information Gain

create a decision tree for the partition

IF the partition contains only positive instances

Mark the note as +

IF the partition contains only negative instances

Mark the note as -

A basic Decision Tree Learning Algorithm

ID3(Examples, Target-attribute, Attributes)

/* Examples: The training examples; */
/* Target-attribute:The attribute whose value is to be predicted by the tree; */
/* Attributes: A list of other attributes that may be tested by the learned decision tree. */
/* Return a decision tree that correctly classifies the given Examples */
Step 1: Create a Root node for the tree
Step 2: If all Examples are positive, Return the single-node tree Root, with label = +
Step 3: If all Examples are negative, Return the single-node tree Root, with label = Step 4: If Attributes is empty, Return the single-node tree Root, with label = most common value of
Target-attribute in Examples
Step 5: Otherwise Begin

- A \leftarrow the attribute from Attributes that best (i.e., highest information gain) classifies Examples;
- The decision attribute for $Root \leftarrow A$;
- For each possible value, v_i , of A,
 - Add a new tree branch below *Root*, corresponding to the test $A=v_i$;
 - Let $Examples(v_i)$ be the subset of Examples that have value v_i for A;
 - If $Examples(v_i)$ is empty
 - * Then below this new branch add a leaf node with label = most common value of Target-attribute in Examples
 - * Else below this new branch add the subtree $ID3(Examples(v_i), Target-attribute, Attributes- A))$

End

Return Root

Entropy ("disorder")

- Entropy is a measure of unpredictability of information content.
 - The higher the information content, the lower the entropy
- The more classification information a decision tree contains, the smaller the entropy
- The goal of ID3 is to create a tree with minimal entropy

Entropy increases with increasing Equality of Distribution

- Assume there are two classes + und –
- An uninformed classifier will assign the individuals randomly to the classes + and –
- It is thus plausible, that the entropy is smaller the more the frequencies p (of +) and n (of –) for each class are different from equal distribution.
- The more unequal p and n, the smaller is the entropy

high entropy







Calculation of the Entropy for binary Classification

- Assume a decision tree which classifies the training set into to classes + (positive) and – (negative)
- The entropy is calculated by
 Entropy (S) = -p+ * log₂ (p+) p- * log₂ (p-)

S = p + n is the number of all elements
p frequency of elements of class +
n frequency of elements of class p+ = p / S and p- = n / S are the relative frequencies, i.e. the proportions of values of classes + and -

Entropy Calculation for different Distributions

The more different p and n, the lower is the entropy

р	n	<i>p</i> +	ld(p+)	<i>p</i> -	ld(p-)	Entropy(p+n)
7	7	0.5	-1	0.5	-1	1
6	8	0.43	-1.22	0.57	-0.81	0.99
5	9	0.36	-1.49	0.64	-0.64	0.94
4	10	0.29	-1.81	0.71	-0.49	0.86
3	11	0.21	-2.22	0.79	-0.35	0.75
2	12	0.14	-2.81	0.86	-0.22	0.59
1	13	0.07	-3.81	0.93	-0.11	0.37

Id(0) cannot be calculated, but for p = 0 or n = 0 no classification is necessary

 $Id = Iog_2$ (logarithmus dualis)

Expectation Value

The expectation value measures the information, which is needed for classification with attribute A
Expectation Value

Let A be an attribute with m possible values v₁, ..., v_i, ..., v_m

- *Values(A)* is the set of all possible values for attribute A
- S_v is the subset of S for which attribute A has value v
- The attribute A divides the elements into m partitions (subtrees)
- Entropy(S_v) = entropy of the subtree created by the attribute value v
- Expectation Value EV_A of the required information for the classification of the root attribute A

$$EV(A) := \sum_{v \in Values(A)} \frac{|S_v|}{|S|} Entropy(S_v)$$

The expectation value is the weighted average of the entropies of the subtrees created by v_i

Information Gain

- The information gain measures how well a given attribute A separates the training examples according to their target classification.
- The information gain is calculated by subtracting the expectation value of the subtrees created by A from the entropy of the tree with root A

$$GAIN(S, A) = Entropy(S) - EV(A)$$
$$= Entropy(S) - \left(\sum_{v \in Values(A)} \frac{|S_v|}{|S|} Entropy(S_v)\right)$$

Exercise





Which test condition is the best?

Thanks to Nadeem Qaisar Mehmood

ID3: Information Gain for Attribute Selection

ID3 uses the Information Gain to select the test attribute

On each level of the tree select the attribute with the highest information gain

The recursive calculation of the attributes stops when either

- all partitions contain only positive or only negative elements or
- a user-defined threshold is achieved



Computing Entropy: Example

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
D1	Yes	Single	125K	No
D2	No	Married	100K	No
D3	No	Single	70K	No
D4	Yes	Married	120K	No
D5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
D6	No	Married	60K	No
D7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
D8	No	Single	85K	Yes
D9	No	Married	75K	No
D10	No	Single	90K	Yes

Training Data (S)

 $E(S)=E[3+,7-]= -(3/10)\log(3/10)-(7/10)\log(7/10)$ =-(0.3)log(0.3)-(0.7)log(0.7)





Divorced

[Tan&Steinbach's "Intro to Data Mining"]

"Needs more splitting"

Thanks to Nadeem Qaisar Mehmood

NO

Get Information Gain using Entropy

- Measures Reduction in Entropy achieved because of the split.
- Choose the split that achieves most reduction in entropy

 $GAIN(S, A) = Entropy(S) - \left(\sum_{v \in Values(A)} \frac{|S_v|}{|S|} Entropy(S_v)\right)$

- Gain (S,Refund)
- =0.881-{ (3/10)(0)+(7/10)(0.985) }

=0.1915

- Gain(S, MarStatus)
 - $=0.881-\{(4/10)(0)+(6/10)(1)\}$
 - =0.281
- Since with marital status provides more gain, therefore in this case it will be the root node.







Thanks to Nadeem Qaisar Mehmood

How to specify Attribute Test Conditions

Specification of the test condition depends on

- attribute types
 - Nominal
 - Ordinal
 - Continuous
- number of ways to split
 - 2-way split
 - Multi-way split

Splitting for Nominal Attributes

Multi-way split: Use as many partitions as distinct values.



Binary split: Divides values into two subsets. Need to find optimal partitioning.



Splitting for Ordinal Attributes

Multi-way split: Use as many partitions as distinct values.



Binary split: Divides values into two subsets. Need to find optimal partitioning.



Splitting for Continuous Attributes

- Different ways of handling
 - Discretization to form an ordinal categorical attribute



• Binary Decision: (A < v) or $(A \ge v)$



Multi-way Split: Intervals



considering all possible splits and finding the best cut can be computing intensive

Decision Tree represented in Rules form



Classification Rules

(Refund=Yes) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single,Divorced}, Taxable Income<80K) ==> No

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Single,Divorced}, Taxable Income>80K) ==> Yes

(Refund=No, Marital Status={Married}) ==> No

Rules are mutually exclusive and exhaustive

Rule set contains as much information as the tree

Preference for Short Trees

- Preference for short trees over larger trees, and for those with high information gain attributes near the root
- Occam's Razor: Prefer the simplest hypothesis that fits the data.
- Arguments in favor:
 - a short hypothesis that itts data is unlikely to be a coincidence
 compared to long hypothesis
- Arguments opposed:
 - There are many ways to define small sets of hypotheses

Overfitting

- When there is noise in the data, or when the number of training examples is too small to produce a representative sample of the true target function, the rule set (hypothesis) overfits the training examples!!
- Consider error of hypothesis h over
 - training data: *errortrain*(h)
 - entire distribution D of data: *errorD*(h)
- Hypothesis h OVERFITS training data if there is an alternative hypothesis h0 such that
 - errortrain(h) < errortrain(h0)
 - errorD(h) > errorD(h0)

Avoiding Overfitting by Pruning

- The classification quality of a tree can be improved by cutting weak branches
- Reduced error pruning
 - remove the subtree rooted at that node,
 - make it a leaf,
 - assign it the most common classification of the training examples afiliated with that node.
- To test accuracy, the data are separated in training set and valication set. Do until further pruning is harmful:
 - Evaluate impact on *validation* set of pruning each possible node
 - Greedily remove the one that most improves *validation* set accuracy

Training and Validation

1. training set (used to build the model) Attrib1 Attrib2 Attrib3 Class Learning Tid 2. test set (used to validate it) Yes 125K 1 Large No algorithm The test set is used to determine 2 No Medium 100K No 3 Small 70K No No the accuracy of the model. 4 Yes Medium 120K No Induction 5 No Large 95K Yes 6 No Medium 60K No Learn 7 Yes Large 220K No Model 8 No Small 85K Yes 9 No Medium 75K No 10 No Small 90K Yes Model Training Set Apply Model Attrib1 Attrib2 Class Tid Attrib3 11 No Small 55K [Tan&Steinbach's "Intro to 12 Yes 80K Medium Data Mining"] Deduction 13 Yes Large 110K 95K 14 No Small 15 No 67K Large Test Set

Usually, the given data set is

divided into

Learning Decision Trees

Pruning

These figures shoe the structure of a decision tree before and after pruning



Generalisations

- Multiple Classes
 - Although the examples had only two classes, decision tree learning can be done also for more than two classes
 - Example: Quality
 - okay, rework, defective
- Probability
 - The examples only had Boolean decisions
 - Example: IF income > 5000 and age > 30 THEN creditworthy
 - Generalisation: Probabilties for classification
 - Example: IF income > 5000 and age > 30 THEN creditworthy with probability 0.92

Algorithms for Decision Tree Learning

- Examples os algorithms for learning decision trees
 - C4.5 (successor of ID3, predecessor of C5.0)
 - CART (Classification and Regression Trees)
 - CHAID (CHI-squared Automatic Interaction Detection)
- A comparison ¹⁾ of various algorithms showed that
 - the algorithms are similar with respect to classification performance
 - pruning increases the performance
 - performance depends on the data and the problem.

¹⁾ D. Michie, D.J. Spiegelhalter und C.C. Taylor: Machine Learning, Neural and Statistical Classificaiton, Ellis Horwood 199

- Entroy (S) = 1
- EV(OC) = 0.5 * E(S1) + 0.5 * E(S0)
- $=0.5 * (-0.6 \log(0.6) 0.4 \log(0.4) + 0.5 (* (-0.4 \log(0.4) 0.6 \log(0.6) = 0.97)$

